Women Save More For Retirement Than Men But Have Less

uestion: Are men or women more likely to invest in retirement savings plans at work?

Answer: 73 percent of women employees participate in such plans while only 66 percent of men do.

Question: Do men or women put more of their employment income into retirement savings accounts?

Answer: Women put 7 percent of their salary into retirement savings while men stash away 6.8 percent.

Question: Are men or women more likely to invest their retirement savings in the stock market?

Answer: Women are just as aggressive as men when it comes to investing their money for retirement, with women investing 73 percent of their savings in equities compared to 74 by men.

Despite these statistics – compiled and reported by the research department at Vanguard – women still come up short in the all-important area of account balances.

Jean Young, senior research analyst at Vanguard and author of the report, says that in March 2015, women held an average of \$79,572 in defined contribution retirement plans as compared to an average of \$123,262 held by men. The median balances, for women and men, were \$24,446 and \$36,875, Young says.

"Women seem to be a bit better at (retirement saving) than men. They're more likely to save, and when they save, they save more," she adds.

Then what is behind these discrepancies? The glass ceiling is partly responsible. To this day, women still make less money on average than men even when they're doing the same kind of work.



In addition, because men make more money than women, it tends to be easier for them to save more money over time. Young says that when the Vanguard researchers adjusted their data to account for disparities in income, the savings balance differences almost vanished, except for workers at the very top end of the income picture.

Why do differences persist at the top of the income scale? Young believes that may be because men are likely to have spent more of their careers in high-paying jobs compared with women. That may give the well-paid men more years to put away substantial retirement savings.

Another reason women may lag behind overall is access to retirement savings plans.

Lower-paid workers and parttime employees—and women are likely to fall into both categories—frequently can't participate in such plans.

Another study, by the Employee Benefits Research Institute, found that the gender pay gap also can be explained by the kinds of jobs men and women gravitate to. Women in the past have frequently applied for jobs at the bottom end of the employment spectrum, and

often chose part-time work because of their other responsibilities.

Young says these findings are a reminder that women need to negotiate for better salaries when applying for jobs or promotions.

7 Tax Breaks Set To Last Forever

fter years of passing "tax extender" laws, Congress finally enacted tax legislation in 2015—the Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes (PATH) Act—that permanently restores several key tax breaks for individuals. These seven tax provisions are now a permanent part of the tax code until, if ever, Congress changes them. They are:

1. American Opportunity Tax Credit. Before PATH, parents could claim a maximum \$2,500 American Opportunity Tax Credit (AOTC) for qualifying higher education expenses, subject to phase-outs based on modified adjusted gross income

(MAGI). But the maximum credit was scheduled to drop to \$1,800 in 2017 with lower phase-out levels. The new law preserves the higher AOTC.

2. Sales tax deduction. Before 2015, taxpayers could choose to deduct state and local sales taxes instead of claiming the usual deduction for state and local income taxes. This optional deduction, especially valuable if your state has no income tax, has been restored retroactively for 2015 and made permanent.

3. IRA transfers to charity. Under a provision that had expired, if you were over age 70½ you could transfer up to \$100,000 (\$200,000 as a

married couple) directly from an IRA to charity—including amounts paid as required minimum distribution (RMDs)—with no tax consequences. The PATH Act restores this rule for 2015 and makes it permanent.

4. Conservation deductions. If you grant a conservation easement for property you own, you get a deduction based on the easement's value.

Previously, that deduction could be for as much as 50% of AGI (100% for farmers and ranchers), rather than the usual 30% limit, and there was a 15-year carry forward period for excess amounts instead of five years. Both enhancements are restored